

not seen their fathers even once in the past 12 months, according to national survey data;

Whereas single parents are to be commended for the tremendous job that they do with their children;

Whereas the United States needs to encourage responsible parenting by both fathers and mothers, whenever possible;

Whereas the United States needs to encourage both parents, as well as extended families, to be actively involved in children's lives;

Whereas a way to encourage active involvement is to encourage joint custody and shared parenting;

Whereas the American Bar Association found in 1997 that 19 States plus the District of Columbia had some form of presumption for joint custody, either legal, physical, or both, and by 2006, 13 additional States had added some form of presumption, bringing the current total to 32 States plus the District of Columbia;

Whereas data from the Census Bureau shows a correlation between joint custody and shared parenting and a higher rate of payment of child support;

Whereas social science literature shows that a higher proportion of children from intact families with two parents in the home are well adjusted, and research also shows that for children of divorced, separated, and never married parents, joint custody is strongly associated with positive outcomes for children on important measures of adjustment and well being; and

Whereas research by the Department of Health and Human Services shows that the States with the highest amount of joint custody subsequently had the lowest divorce rate: Now, therefore, be it

Resolved by the Senate (the House of Representatives concurring), That it is the sense of the Congress that joint custody laws for fit parents should be passed by each State, so that more children are raised with the benefits of having a father and a mother in their lives.

Mr. AKAKA. Mr. President, I rise today to submit legislation expressing the sense of the Congress that States should enact joint custody laws for fit parents, so that more children are raised with the benefit of having both parents in their lives.

One of the most significant problems facing our Nation today is the number of children being raised without the love and support of both parents. Even if it is not possible for the parents to remain in a committed partnership, it is important that, when possible, each parent as well as their extended families have every opportunity to play an active role in their children's life. A number of recent studies have suggested that children greatly benefit from joint custody or shared parenting arrangements. In my own home State of Hawaii, it is a way of life to have our keiki, or children, raised and nurtured by the extended family and we have seen how our children flourish when the responsibility of child rearing is shared.

This Nation's children are our most vital resource and every effort should be made to ensure that they receive the guidance and encouragement they need to thrive. I urge States to pass joint custody laws for fit parents so all children can be raised within the ex-

tended embrace of both parents and their families.

AMENDMENTS SUBMITTED AND PROPOSED

SA 5107. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. CORNYN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3661, to amend section 29 of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 relating to air transportation to and from Love Field, Texas.

SA 5108. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1131, to authorize the exchange of certain Federal land within the State of Idaho, and for other purposes.

SA 5109. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. BINGAMAN)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1830, to amend the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003, and for other purposes.

SA 5110. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1913, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to lease a portion of the Dorothy Buell Memorial Visitor Center for use as a visitor center for the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, and for other purposes.

SA 5111. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 409, to provide for the exchange of land within the Sierra National Forest, California, and for other purposes.

SA 5112. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 409, supra.

SA 5113. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 3085, to amend the National Trails System Act to update the feasibility and suitability study originally prepared for the Trail of Tears National Historic Trail and provide for the inclusion of new trail segments, land components, and campgrounds associated with that trail, and for other purposes.

SA 5114. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. BENNETT) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 5585 to improve the netting process for financial contracts, and for other purposes.

SA 5115. Mr. FRIST (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. THUNE, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. DEMINT, Mr. COBURN, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. HATCH, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. BROWNBACK)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3880, to provide the Department of Justice the necessary authority to apprehend, prosecute, and convict individuals committing animal enterprise terror.

SA 5116. Mr. FRIST (for Ms. MURKOWSKI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1409, to amend the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 to modify the grant program to improve sanitation in rural and Native villages in the State of Alaska.

SA 5117. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. CRAIG) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3938, to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States.

SA 5118. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. JEFFORDS)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3879, to implement the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage, and for other purposes.

SA 5119. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. MCCAIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3526, to amend the Indian Land Consolidation Act to modify certain requirements under that Act.

SA 5120. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3867, to designate the United States courthouse located at 555 Independence Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as the 'Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse'.

SA 5121. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3867, supra.

TEXT OF AMENDMENTS

SA 5107. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for herself, Mr. STEVENS, and Mr. CORNYN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3661, to amend section 29 of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 relating to air transportation to and from Love Field, Texas; as follows:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the "Wright Amendment Reform Act of 2006".

SEC. 2. MODIFICATION OF PROVISIONS REGARDING FLIGHTS TO AND FROM LOVE FIELD, TEXAS.

(a) **EXPANDED SERVICE.**—Section 29(c) of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 (Public Law 96-192; 94 Stat. 35) is amended by striking "carrier, if (1)" and all that follows and inserting the following: "carrier. Air carriers and, with regard to foreign air transportation, foreign air carriers, may offer for sale and provide through service and ticketing to or from Love Field, Texas, and any United States or foreign destination through any point within Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, or Alabama."

(b) **REPEAL.**—Section 29 of the International Air Transportation Competition Act of 1979 (94 Stat. 35), as amended by subsection (a), is repealed on the date that is 8 years after the date of enactment of this Act.

SEC. 3. TREATMENT OF INTERNATIONAL NONSTOP FLIGHTS TO AND FROM LOVE FIELD, TEXAS.

No person shall provide, or offer to provide, air transportation of passengers for compensation or hire between Love Field, Texas, and any point or points outside the 50 States or the District of Columbia on a nonstop basis, and no official or employee of the Federal Government may take any action to make or designate Love Field as an initial point of entry into the United States or a last point of departure from the United States.

SEC. 4. CHARTER FLIGHTS AT LOVE FIELD, TEXAS.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—Charter flights (as defined in section 212.2 of title 14, Code of Federal Regulations) at Love Field, Texas, shall be limited to—

(1) destinations within the 50 States and the District of Columbia; and

(2) no more than 10 per month per air carrier for charter flights beyond the States of Texas, New Mexico, Oklahoma, Kansas, Arkansas, Louisiana, Mississippi, Missouri, and Alabama.

(b) **CARRIERS WHO LEASE GATES.**—All flights operated to or from Love Field by air carriers that lease terminal gate space at Love Field shall depart from and arrive at one of those leased gates; except for—

(1) flights operated by an agency of the Federal Government or by an air carrier under contract with an agency of the Federal Government; and

(2) irregular operations.

(c) **CARRIERS WHO DO NOT LEASE GATES.**—Charter flights from Love Field, Texas, operated by air carriers that do not lease terminal space at Love Field may operate from nonterminal facilities or one of the terminal gates at Love Field.

SEC. 5. LOVE FIELD GATES.

(a) **IN GENERAL.**—The city of Dallas, Texas, shall reduce as soon as practicable, the number of gates available for passenger air service at Love Field to no more than 20 gates. Thereafter, the number of gates available for such service shall not exceed a maximum of 20 gates. The city of Dallas, pursuant to its

authority to operate and regulate the airport as granted under chapter 22 of the Texas Transportation Code and this Act, shall determine the allocation of leased gates and manage Love Field in accordance with contractual rights and obligations existing as of the effective date of this Act for certificated air carriers providing scheduled passenger service at Love Field on July 11, 2006. To accommodate new entrant air carriers, the city of Dallas shall honor the scarce resource provision of the existing Love Field leases.

(b) **REMOVAL OF GATES AT LOVE FIELD.**—No Federal funds or passenger facility charges may be used to remove gates at the Lemmon Avenue facility, Love Field, in reducing the number of gates as required under this Act, but Federal funds or passenger facility charges may be used for other airport facilities under chapter 471 of title 49, United States Code.

(c) **GENERAL AVIATION.**—Nothing in this Act shall affect general aviation service at Love Field, including flights to or from Love Field by general aviation aircraft for air taxi service, private or sport flying, aerial photography, crop dusting, corporate aviation, medical evacuation, flight training, police or fire fighting, and similar general aviation purposes, or by aircraft operated by any agency of the Federal Government or by any air carrier under contract to any agency of the Federal Government.

(d) **ENFORCEMENT.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Notwithstanding any other provision of law, the Secretary of Transportation and the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration may not make findings or determinations, issue orders or rules, withhold airport improvement grants or approvals thereof, deny passenger facility charge applications, or take any other actions, either self-initiated or on behalf of third parties—

(A) that are inconsistent with the contract dated July 11, 2006, entered into by the city of Dallas, the city of Fort Worth, the DFW International Airport Board, and others regarding the resolution of the Wright Amendment issues, unless actions by the parties to the contract are not reasonably necessary to implement such contract; or

(B) that challenge the legality of any provision of such contract.

(2) **COMPLIANCE WITH TITLE 49 REQUIREMENTS.**—A contract described in paragraph (1)(A) of this subsection, and any actions taken by the parties to such contract that are reasonably necessary to implement its provisions, shall be deemed to comply in all respects with the parties' obligations under title 49, United States Code.

(e) **LIMITATION ON STATUTORY CONSTRUCTION.**—

(1) **IN GENERAL.**—Nothing in this Act shall be construed—

(A) to limit the obligations of the parties under the programs of the Department of Transportation and the Federal Aviation Administration relating to aviation safety, labor, environmental, national historic preservation, civil rights, small business concerns (including disadvantaged business enterprise), veteran's preference, disability access, and revenue diversion;

(B) to limit the authority of the Department of Transportation or the Federal Aviation Administration to enforce the obligations of the parties under the programs described in subparagraph (A);

(C) to limit the obligations of the parties under the security programs of the Department of Homeland Security, including the Transportation Security Administration, at Love Field, Texas;

(D) to authorize the parties to offer marketing incentives that are in violation of Federal law, rules, orders, agreements, and other requirements; or

(E) to limit the authority of the Federal Aviation Administration or any other Federal agency to enforce requirements of law and grant assurances (including subsections (a)(1), (a)(4), and (s) of section 47107 of title 49, United States Code) that impose obligations on Love Field to make its facilities available on a reasonable and nondiscriminatory basis to air carriers seeking to use such facilities, or to withhold grants or deny applications to applicants violating such obligations with respect to Love Field.

(2) **FACILITIES.**—Paragraph (1)(E)—

(A) shall only apply with respect to facilities that remain at Love Field after the city of Dallas has reduced the number of gates at Love Field as required by subsection (a); and

(B) shall not be construed to require the city of Dallas, Texas—

(i) to construct additional gates beyond the 20 gates referred to in subsection (a); or

(ii) to modify or eliminate preferential gate leases with air carriers in order to allocate gate capacity to new entrants or to create common use gates, unless such modification or elimination is implemented on a nationwide basis.

SEC. 6. APPLICABILITY.

The provisions of this Act shall apply to actions taken with respect to Love Field, Texas, or air transportation to or from Love Field, Texas, and shall have no application to any other airport (other than an airport owned or operated by the city of Dallas or the city of Fort Worth, or both).

SEC. 7. EFFECTIVE DATE.

Sections 1 through 6, including the amendments made by such sections, shall take effect on the date that the Administrator of the Federal Aviation Administration notifies Congress that aviation operations in the airspace serving Love Field and the Dallas-Fort Worth area which are likely to be conducted after enactment of this Act can be accommodated in full compliance with Federal Aviation Administration safety standards in accordance with section 40101 of title 49, United States Code, and, based on current expectations, without adverse effect on use of airspace in such area.

SA 5108. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1131, to authorize the exchange of certain Federal land within the State of Idaho, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 15, between lines 22 and 23, insert the following:

(3) **TERM OF APPROVAL.**—The term of approval of the appraisals by the interdepartmental review team is extended to September 13, 2008.

SA 5109. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI (for himself and Mr. BINGAMAN)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1830, to amend the Compact of Free Association Amendments Act of 2003, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 7, between lines 1 and 2, insert the following:

(i) in the fourth sentence of subsection (a), by striking "Compact, as Amended, of Free Association" and inserting "Compact of Free Association, as amended";

On page 7, line 2, strike "(i)" and insert "(ii)".

On page 7, line 11, strike "(ii)" and insert "(iii)".

On page 8, line 1, strike "(iii)" and insert "(iv)".

On page 10, between lines 17 and 18, insert the following:

(i) in the fourth sentence of subsection (a), by striking "Compact, as Amended, of Free

Association" and inserting "Compact of Free Association, as amended";

On page 10, line 18, strike "(i)" and insert "(ii)".

On page 11, line 9, strike "(ii)" and insert "(iii)".

On page 12, strike line 21 and insert the following: "inserting 'as amended.' after 'the Compact';".

On page 13, strike line 2 and insert the following: "and inserting 'Telecommunication Union'; and".

On page 13, after line 25, add the following:

SEC. 9. CLARIFICATION OF TAX-FREE STATUS OF TRUST FUNDS.

In the U.S.-RMI Compact, the U.S.-FSM Compact, and their respective trust fund subsidiary agreements, for the purposes of taxation by the United States or its subsidiary jurisdictions, the term "State" means "State, territory, or the District of Columbia".

SA 5110. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1913, to authorize the Secretary of the Interior to lease a portion of the Dorothy Buell Memorial Visitor Center for use as a visitor center for the Indiana Dunes National Lakeshore, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike the item in the table of contents relating to section 207.

Strike section 207.

SA 5111. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 409, to provide for the exchange of land within the Sierra National Forest, California, and for other purposes; as follows:

Strike section 4 and insert the following:

SEC. 4. GRANT OF EASEMENT AND RIGHT OF FIRST REFUSAL.

In accordance with the agreement entered into by the Forest Service, the Council, and the owner of Project No. 67 entitled the "Agreement to Convey Grant of Easement and Right of First Refusal" and executed on April 17, 2006—

(1) the Secretary shall grant an easement to the owner of Project No. 67; and

(2) the Council shall grant a right of first refusal to the owner of Project No. 67.

SA 5112. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 409, to provide for the exchange of land within the Sierra National Forest, California, and for other purposes; as follows:

At the end, add the following:

SEC. 6. GRANTS TO IMPROVE THE COMMERCIAL VALUE OF FOREST BIOMASS FOR ELECTRIC ENERGY, USEFUL HEAT, TRANSPORTATION FUELS, AND OTHER COMMERCIAL PURPOSES.

Section 210(d) of the Energy Policy Act of 2005 (42 U.S.C. 15855(d)) is amended by striking "\$50,000,000 for each of the fiscal years 2006 through 2016" and inserting "\$50,000,000 for fiscal year 2006 and \$35,000,000 for each of fiscal years 2007 through 2016".

SA 5113. Mrs. HUTCHISON (for Mr. DOMENICI) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 3085, to amend the National Trails System Act to update the feasibility and suitability study originally prepared for the Trail of Tears National Historic Trail and provide for the inclusion of new trail segments, land components, and campgrounds associated with that trail, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 3, strike lines 1 through 3 and insert the following:

“(iv) The related campgrounds located along the routes and land components described in clauses (i) through (iii).

“(D) No additional funds are authorized to be appropriated to carry out subparagraph (C). The Secretary may accept donations for the Trail from private, nonprofit, or tribal organizations.”.

SA 5114. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. BENNETT) proposed an amendment to the bill H.R. 5585 to improve the meeting process for financial contracts, and for other purposes.

Strike section 7 (relating to compensation of chapter 7 trustees; chapter 7 filing fees).

In section 8 (relating to scope of application), strike the section heading and all that follows through “the amendments made” and insert the following:

“SEC. 7. SCOPE OF APPLICATION.

“The amendments made”.

SA 5115. Mr. FRIST (for Mrs. FEINSTEIN (for herself, Mr. INHOFE, Mr. THUNE, Mr. ISAKSON, Mr. DEMINT, Mr. COBURN, Mr. DEWINE, Mr. SANTORUM, Mr. HATCH, Mr. CORNYN, and Mr. BROWNBACK)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3880, to provide the Department of Justice the necessary authority to apprehend, prosecute, and convict individuals committing animal enterprise terror; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. SHORT TITLE.

This Act may be cited as the “Animal Enterprise Terrorism Act”.

SEC. 2. INCLUSION OF ECONOMIC DAMAGE TO ANIMAL ENTERPRISES AND THREATS OF DEATH AND SERIOUS BODILY INJURY TO ASSOCIATED PERSONS.

(a) IN GENERAL.—Section 43 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“§ 43. Force, violence, and threats involving animal enterprises

“(a) OFFENSE.—Whoever travels in interstate or foreign commerce, or uses or causes to be used the mail or any facility of interstate or foreign commerce—

“(1) for the purpose of damaging or interfering with the operations of an animal enterprise; and

“(2) in connection with such purpose—

“(A) intentionally damages or causes the loss of any real or personal property (including animals or records) used by an animal enterprise, or any real or personal property of a person or entity having a connection to, relationship with, or transactions with an animal enterprise;

“(B) intentionally places a person in reasonable fear of the death of, or serious bodily injury to that person, a member of the immediate family (as defined in section 115) of that person, or a spouse or intimate partner of that person by a course of conduct involving threats, acts of vandalism, property damage, criminal trespass, harassment, or intimidation; or

“(C) conspires or attempts to do so; shall be punished as provided for in subsection (b).

“(b) PENALTIES.—The punishment for a violation of section (a) or an attempt or conspiracy to violate subsection (a) shall be—

“(1) a fine under this title or imprisonment not more than 1 year, or both, if the offense does not instill in another the reasonable fear of serious bodily injury or death and—

“(A) the offense results in no economic damage or bodily injury; or

“(B) the offense results in economic damage that does not exceed \$10,000;

“(2) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 5 years, or both, if no bodily injury occurs and—

“(A) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$10,000 but not exceeding \$100,000; or

“(B) the offense instills in another the reasonable fear of serious bodily injury or death;

“(3) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 10 years, or both, if—

“(A) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$100,000; or

“(B) the offense results in substantial bodily injury to another individual;

“(4) a fine under this title or imprisonment for not more than 20 years, or both, if—

“(A) the offense results in serious bodily injury to another individual; or

“(B) the offense results in economic damage exceeding \$1,000,000; and

“(5) imprisonment for life or for any terms of years, a fine under this title, or both, if the offense results in death of another individual.

“(c) RESTITUTION.—An order of restitution under section 3663 or 3663A of this title with respect to a violation of this section may also include restitution—

“(1) for the reasonable cost of repeating any experimentation that was interrupted or invalidated as a result of the offense;

“(2) for the loss of food production or farm income reasonably attributable to the offense; and

“(3) for any other economic damage, including any losses or costs caused by economic disruption, resulting from the offense.

“(d) DEFINITIONS.—As used in this section—

“(1) the term ‘animal enterprise’ means—

“(A) a commercial or academic enterprise that uses or sells animals or animal products for profit, food or fiber production, agriculture, education, research, or testing;

“(B) a zoo, aquarium, animal shelter, pet store, breeder, furrier, circus, or rodeo, or other lawful competitive animal event; or

“(C) any fair or similar event intended to advance agricultural arts and sciences;

“(2) the term ‘course of conduct’ means a pattern of conduct composed of 2 or more acts, evidencing a continuity of purpose;

“(3) the term ‘economic damage’—

“(A) means the replacement costs of lost or damaged property or records, the costs of repeating an interrupted or invalidated experiment, the loss of profits, or increased costs, including losses and increased costs resulting from threats, acts or vandalism, property damage, trespass, harassment, or intimidation taken against a person or entity on account of that person’s or entity’s connection to, relationship with, or transactions with the animal enterprise; but

“(B) does not include any lawful economic disruption (including a lawful boycott) that results from lawful public, governmental, or business reaction to the disclosure of information about an animal enterprise;

“(4) the term ‘serious bodily injury’ means—

“(A) injury posing a substantial risk of death;

“(B) extreme physical pain;

“(C) protracted and obvious disfigurement; or

“(D) protracted loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty; and

“(5) the term ‘substantial bodily injury’ means—

“(A) deep cuts and serious burns or abrasion;

“(B) short-term or nonobvious disfigurement;

“(C) fractured or dislocated bones, or torn members of the body;

“(D) significant physical pain;

“(E) illness;

“(F) short-term loss or impairment of the function of a bodily member, organ, or mental faculty; or

“(G) any other significant injury to the body.

“(e) RULES OF CONSTRUCTION.—Nothing in this section shall be construed—

“(1) to prohibit any expressive conduct (including peaceful picketing or other peaceful demonstration) protected from legal prohibition by the First Amendment to the Constitution;

“(2) to create new remedies for interference with activities protected by the free speech or free exercise clauses of the First Amendment to the Constitution, regardless of the point of view expressed, or to limit any existing legal remedies for such interference; or

“(3) to provide exclusive criminal penalties or civil remedies with respect to the conduct prohibited by this action, or to preempt State or local laws that may provide such penalties or remedies.”.

(b) CLERICAL AMENDMENT.—The item relating to section 43 in the table of sections at the beginning of chapter 3 of title 18, United States Code, is amended to read as follows:

“43. Force, violence, and threats involving animal enterprises.”.

SA 5116. Mr. FRIST (for Ms. MURKOWSKI) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 1409, to amend the Safe Drinking Water Act Amendments of 1996 to modify the grant program to improve sanitation in rural and Native villages in the State of Alaska; as follows:

On page 3, strike line 7 and insert the following:

“(f) REPORTING.—Not later than December 31, 2007 (with respect to fiscal year 2007), and annually thereafter (with respect to each subsequent fiscal year), the State of Alaska shall submit to

On page 3, strike line 14 and insert the following:

“(g) REVIEW.—

“(1) IN GENERAL.—The Administrator of the

On page 3, lines 15 through 17, strike “recommend to the State of Alaska means by which the State of Alaska can address” and insert “require the State of Alaska to correct”.

On page 3, strike line 18 and insert the following:

section (f).

“(2) FAILURE TO CORRECT OR REACH AGREEMENT.—

“(A) IN GENERAL.—If a deficiency in a project included in a report under subsection (f) is not corrected within a period of time agreed to by the Administrator and the State of Alaska, the Administrator shall not permit additional expenditures for that project.

“(B) TIME AGREEMENT.—

“(i) IN GENERAL.—Not later than 180 days after the date of submission to the Administrator of a report under subsection (f), the Administrator and the State of Alaska shall reach an agreement on a period of time referred to in subparagraph (A).

“(ii) FAILURE TO REACH AGREEMENT.—If the State of Alaska and the Administrator fail to reach an agreement on the period of time to correct a deficiency in a project included in a report under subsection (f) by the deadline specified in clause (i), the Administrator shall not permit additional expenditures for that project.”; and

On page 3, line 24, strike “2010” and insert “2009”.

SA 5117. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. CRAIG) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3938, to reauthorize the Export-Import Bank of the United States; as follows:

On page 14 lines 8 and 9, strike “the International Trade Commission.”.

SA 5118. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE (for himself and Mr. JEFFORDS)) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3879, to implement the Convention on Supplementary Compensation for Nuclear Damage, and for other purposes; as follows:

On page 13, line 2, insert “and every 5 years thereafter” after “Act”.

SA 5119. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. MCCAIN) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3526, to amend the Indian Land Consolidation Act to modify certain requirements under that Act; as follows:

On page 2, strike lines 18 through 20 and insert the following:

“(B) includes, for purposes of intestate succession only under section 207(a) and only with respect to any decedent who dies after July 20,

Beginning on page 3, strike line 12 and all that follows through page 4, line 9, and insert the following:

“(v) EFFECT OF SUBPARAGRAPH.—Nothing in this subparagraph limits the right of any person to devise any trust or restricted interest pursuant to a valid will in accordance with subsection (b).”;

On page 6, line 21, strike “that” and insert “who”.

SA 5120. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3867, to designate the United States courthouse located at 555 Independence Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as the ‘Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse’”; as follows:

Strike all after the enacting clause and insert the following:

SECTION 1. RUSH H. LIMBAUGH, SR. UNITED STATES COURTHOUSE.

(a) DESIGNATION.—The United States courthouse located at 555 Independence Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, shall be known and designated as the “Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse”.

(b) REFERENCES.—Any reference in a law, map, regulation, document, paper, or other record of the United States to the United States courthouse referred to in subsection (a) shall be deemed to be a reference to the “Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse”.

SA 5121. Mr. FRIST (for Mr. INHOFE) proposed an amendment to the bill S. 3867, to designate the United States courthouse located at 555 Independence Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as the ‘Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse’”; as follows:

Amend the title so as to read: “To designate the United States courthouse located at 555 Independence Street, Cape Girardeau, Missouri, as the ‘Rush H. Limbaugh, Sr. United States Courthouse’”.

NOTICE OF HEARING

COMMITTEE ON SMALL BUSINESS AND ENTREPRENEURSHIP

Ms. SNOWE. Mr. President, the Chair would like to inform the Members of

the Committee that the committee will hold a field hearing entitled “Challenges Facing Women-Owned Small Businesses in Government Contracting,” on Tuesday, October 3, 2006, beginning at 2:30 p.m. in the Edwin Meese Conference Room of George Mason University’s Fairfax campus.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON NATIONAL PARKS

Mr. THOMAS. Mr. President, I would like to announce for the information of the Senate and the public that a hearing has been scheduled before the Subcommittee on National Parks of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

The hearing will be held on Wednesday, November 15, 2006 at 2:30 p.m. in room SD-366 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive testimony on the following bills: S. 2148, a bill to direct the Secretary of the Interior to study the suitability and feasibility of establishing the Chattahoochee Trace National Heritage Corridor in Alabama and Georgia, and for other purposes; and H.R. 1096, a bill to establish the Thomas Edison National Historical Park in the State of New Jersey as the successor to the Edison National Historic Site.

Because of the limited time available for the hearing, witnesses may testify by invitation only. However, those wishing to submit written testimony for the hearing record should send two copies of their testimony to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, United States Senate, Washington, DC 20510-6150.

For further information, please contact Tom Lillie at 202-224-5161, David Szymanski at 202-224-6293, or Sara Zecher 202-224-8276.

SUBCOMMITTEE ON PUBLIC LANDS AND FORESTS

Mr. CRAIG. Mr. President, I would like to announce for the information of the Senate and the public that a hearing has been scheduled before the Subcommittee on Public Lands and Forests of the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources.

The hearing will be held on Thursday, November 16, 2006 at 2:30 p.m. in room SD-366 of the Dirksen Senate Office Building.

The purpose of the hearing is to receive testimony on the following bills: S. 3636, a bill to establish wilderness areas, promote conservation, improve public land, and provide for high quality economic development in Washington County, Utah, and for other purposes; and S. 3772, a bill to establish wilderness areas, promote conservation, improve public land, and provide for high quality development in White Pine County, Nevada, and for other purposes.

Because of the limited time available for the hearing, witnesses may testify by invitation only. However, those wishing to submit written testimony for the hearing record should send two copies of their testimony to the Committee on Energy and Natural Resources, United States Senate, Washington, DC 20510-6150.

For further information, please contact Frank Gladics at 202-224-2878, Dick Bouts at 202-224-7545, or Sara Zecher 202-224-8276.

PRIVILEGES OF THE FLOOR

Mr. ENZI. I ask unanimous consent that David Schmickel be granted the privilege of the floor.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

Mr. ENZI. I ask unanimous consent to grant floor privileges to Lesley Stewart of my staff for the duration of the day.

The PRESIDING OFFICER. Without objection, it is so ordered.

NOMINATIONS TO REMAIN IN STATUS QUO

Mr. FRIST. Mr. President, as in executive session, I ask unanimous consent that all nominations received by the Senate during the 109th Congress remain in status quo, notwithstanding the September 30, 2006, adjournment of the Senate and the provisions of rule XXXI, paragraph 6, of the Standing Rules of the Senate, with the following exceptions.

The list of nominations is as follows:

NOMINATIONS STATUS QUO WITH THE FOLLOWING EXCEPTIONS

BROADCASTING BOARD OF GOVERNORS

Kenneth Y. Tomlinson, of Virginia, to be Chairman of the Broadcasting Board of Governors.

Kenneth Y. Tomlinson, of Virginia, to be a Member of the Broadcasting Board of Governors for a term expiring August 13, 2007.

DEPARTMENT OF JUSTICE

Steven G. Bradbury, of Maryland, to be an Assistant Attorney General.

DEPARTMENT OF LABOR

Paul DeCamp, of Virginia, to be Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor.

Richard Stickler, of West Virginia, to be Assistant Secretary of Labor for Mine Safety and Health.

Paul DeCamp, of Virginia, to be Administrator of the Wage and Hour Division, Department of Labor, to which position he was appointed during the last recess of the Senate.

DEPARTMENT OF STATE

John Robert Bolton, of Maryland, to be the Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations, with the rank and status of Ambassador Extraordinary and Plenipotentiary, and the Representative of the United States of America in the Security Council of the United Nations, to which position he was appointed during the recess of the Senate from July 29, 2005, to September 1, 2005.

John Robert Bolton, of Maryland, to be Representative of the United States of America to the sessions of the General Assembly of the United Nations during his tenure of service as Representative of the United States of America to the United Nations, to which position he was appointed during the recess of the Senate from July 29, 2005, to September 1, 2005.

DEPARTMENT OF THE TREASURY

Donald V. Hammond, of Virginia, to be a Member of the Internal Revenue Service